

U.S. Outcomes and Views of the April 2013 Interoperability Workshop

8th Meeting of the ICG

November 2013 Dubai, UAE





- Interoperability Tasks
 - Consistent with the principle of interoperability and its definition, consider the perspective of various user applications and equipment manufacturers
 - Continue efforts to survey industry and user community experts
 - Sponsor and participate in workshops and meetings designed to solicit GNSS user input



ICG-7 Recommendation



- Consistent with the principle of interoperability and its definition, and the implementation of previous ICG recommendations related to interoperability, the ICG should host an interoperability workshop in conjunction with the ION Pacific PNT meeting, April 22-25 2013.
- The ICG will request inputs from potential participants prior to the workshop through existing web sites related to GNSS information dissemination, conferences, major PNT organizations and events.
- The following interoperability subjects may be addressed:
 - 1. Potential for a common third open service signal
 - 2. Frequency diversity vs. frequency commonality
 - 3. DOP improvement with the addition of 2nd, 3rd, 4th, Nth global constellation
 - 4. System provider time and geodetic reference frame implementation as described by the ICG WG-D templates
 - 5. Potential opportunities to utilize existing or planned spare capacity in civil/open service or SBAS navigation messages in order to increase multi-GNSS interoperability





- Workshop held 25-27 April 2013 in Hawaii
- 40 participants in person and 5 additional online or recorded presentations
- 5 out of the 6 GNSS Providers represented
- 16 presentations
- 3 categories of industry represented
 - Aviation/Certified Avionics
 - Medium/High Precision Receivers (e.g. agriculture)
 - Consumer Applications (e.g. cell phones)



Industry Participants



- 11 Industry Representatives/Presentations
 - MITRE (aviation/certified avionics)
 - Rockwell Collins (aviation/certified avionics)
 - Hemisphere GPS (Medium/High Precision Receivers)
 - Septentrio (Medium/High Precision Receivers)
 - Trimble (Medium/High Precision Receivers)
 - John Deere (Medium/High Precision Receivers)
 - Topcon (Medium/High Precision Receivers)
 - CSR plc (Consumer Applications)
 - ST Microelectronics (Consumer Applications)
 - Broadcom (Consumer Applications)
 - Qualcomm (Consumer Applications)



U.S. Compilation of Industry Responses



- Based on an in depth review of information provided by the industry participants, including:
 - Written answers
 - Presentations given at the workshop
- Best interpretation required
 - Some industry answers were ambiguous
- Only yes/no questions and answers included in the results
- Not all questions answered by all participants





Question #1:

Do you see a threat to GNSS receivers due to many more GNSS signals centered at 1575.42 MHz?







Question #2:

Do you prefer all new CDMA signals at "L1" to be centered at 1575.42 MHz?







Question #3: Will you continue to use C/A in the longterm?



*10 Total Responses to the Question





Question #4:

Once there are a large number of good CDMA signals, will there be continuing commercial interest in FDMA signals?







Question #5:

Do you prefer signals in different "L1" frequency bands (rather than at one center frequency)?



*9 Total Responses to the Question





Question #6: Do you intend to use the E5b signal?



*10 Total Responses to the Question





Question #7:

For your applications, are small satellite "frequency steps" a problem?







Question #8:

Assuming signal quality is acceptable from every provider, would you limit the number of signals used?



*9 Total Responses to the Question





Question #9: Is having more signals inherently better?







Question #10:

Will the marketplace "force" you to make use of every available signal?







Question #11:

Is having a common center frequency very important?



*9 Total Responses to the Question





Question #12: Will you provide "tri-lane" capability in the future?







Question #13:

Would you prefer a common open signal in S Band?



*9 Total Responses to the Question





Question #14:

Would you prefer a common open signal in C Band?







Question #15:

Does a wider satellite transmitter bandwidth help with multipath mitigation?







Question #16:

Would you recommend GNSS or SBAS services provide interoperability parameters?







Question #17:

Should the international community strive to protect all GNSS signal bands from terrestrial signal interference?



*11 Total Responses to the Question





Question #18:

Do the current differences (~10 cm) in Geodesy pose a problem for your users?





U.S. Conclusions and Recommendations



- Information is based on a limited number of participants
 - Statistical variations should be considered when interpreting these results
- Results are based on the opinion of experts who represent industry interests
- Each Provider should consider holding their own workshop with results incorporated together
- Each GNSS Provider should carefully evaluate these results and determine what it means to their system