

#### Future of U.S. NDGPS





#### LT Torrey Jacobsen, U.S. Coast Guard

DGPS Program Manager CGSIC – September 14<sup>th</sup>, 2015



Future of U.S. NDGPS



- Current system utilizes 84 broadcast sites to provide positioning accuracy of 1-3 meters across 92% of CONUS
- Few users of the NDGPS broadcast
- USCG and DOT Plans:
  - Retain NDGPS at 22 sites for single station near-shore coverage
  - Decommission 62 sites
- One US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) site to remain
- Termination of NDGPS broadcast at 62 proposed sites planned for Jan. 15, 2016



### Nationwide Differential GPS (NDGPS)



#### **System Description**

- 84 Nationwide Remote Broadcast Sites throughout the United States and territories
  - 92% nationwide signal coverage
  - $\circ~$  Better than 10 meter accuracy
  - $\circ~$  10 second integrity alarm to the user
  - Satisfies Harbor/Harbor Approach requirements
  - o 99.7% availability requirement

#### Operations

- Redundant equipment at sites
- Redundant controls stations at NAVCEN



#### **Stakeholders**

- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)
- Department of Transportation (DOT)
- U. S. Coast Guard (USCG)



### **Contributing Factors**



#### - Discontinuation of Selective Availability

- SA was disabled in 2000 allowing full signal accuracy to civil users
- Improved predicted accuracy from within 300 feet to within 60 feet
- Lack of USCG requirements
- Continued GPS modernization
  - Predicted accuracy now within 11 ½ feet (3.5m)
- Reduced availability of consumer grade DGPS receivers
- Federal Railroad Administration has no NDGPS requirement for Positive Train Control
- Agriculture sector uses commercial DGPS services



### 2013 Federal Register Notice



- Joint DHS/USCG and DOT/RITA Federal Register Notice (FRN) Request for Public Comments [78 FR 22554; April 16, 2013]
- Targeted Outreach to User Community
- USG Requirements Assessed
- Direct Questions:
  - (1) Do you use NDGPS in its current form for positioning, navigation, and timing?
  - (2) What would be the impact if the NDGPS were to be discontinued?
  - (3) Are there alternatives that could be used to meet your PNT requirements?(4) Are there alternative uses for the existing NDGPS infrastructure?
- Responses were few.....



#### Assessment on Comments in Docket



- Few users of the NDGPS broadcast
  - Majority of use is for maritime sector
  - Primarily Pilots for precision shiphandling
- Bottom Line:
  - Insufficient users to justify a nationwide live broadcast









- November 16<sup>th</sup>, 2015: 90-day FRN commentary period closes
- November 20<sup>th</sup>, 2015: Impact analysis report assesses commentary
- December 15<sup>th</sup>, 2015: Local Notice to Mariner message released with notification of sites decommissioning
- January 15<sup>th</sup>, 2015:
  - Sites will be decommissioned
  - Decommissioning may be delayed for those sites with unmitigated impacts identified in the analysis of public comment
- Alternative uses for decommissioned DGPS sites will be examined



#### Summary



- Few users of the NDGPS broadcast
- USCG and DOT Plans:
  - Retain NDGPS at 22 sites for single station near-shore coverage
  - Decommission 62 sites
- One US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) site to remain
- Termination of NDGPS broadcast at 62 proposed sites planned for Jan. 15, 2016

## **BACKUP SLIDES**



# **Overview of FRN Responses 1 of 3**

Category	<b>Respondents</b>	Summary Comments
<u>Maritime-</u> <u>Related</u> (U.S.)	<ul> <li>9 Pilots'</li> <li>Organizations</li> <li>+ 2 individual</li> <li>members</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Universally opposes DGPS reduction/removal in pilotage areas; several technical/safety concerns</li> <li>Universal negativity to WAAS as substitute augmentation system in pilotage and navigation</li> <li>Most correspond to USCG Vessel Traffic Service (VTS) areas (e.g., Houston, New York, Seattle)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>2 private industry partners</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Quotes IALA R-121 that removal of SA does not remove requirement for augmentation</li> <li>Uses data acquisition for underwater investigations</li> </ul>
<u>(U.S.)</u>	<ul> <li>3 State DOTS</li> <li>2 Local DOT/DPW</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Uses for highway design and monument integrity</li> <li>Uses CORS data for RTN; not use broadcast</li> <li>Uses DGPS-based CORS for project control, post- processing, automated survey and construction</li> <li>Uses DGPS – critical for survey, mapping, GIS and data sets, coastal and maritime navigation and environmental applications</li> <li>Suggests use in GPS+GLONASS streaming RTK applications</li> </ul>

## **Overview of FRN Responses 2 of 3**

Category	<b>Respondents</b>	Summary Comments
Associations	<ul> <li>1 Shipping</li> </ul>	• Seeks measurement on relative position fixing capability of
<u>(U.S.)</u>	Association	DGPS signal v. uncorrected GPS
	• 1 PNT	<ul> <li>Cites 30,000 daily navigation users in CONUS + tens of</li> </ul>
	Association	thousands at sea
		<ul> <li>Suggests NDGPS as most reliable augmentation for</li> </ul>
		surface applications, and as backup for power, IT and
		other critical infrastructure outages; and natural disaster
		recovery
	• 1 Conservation	<ul> <li>Uses for GIS, emergency response</li> </ul>
	Assn.	
Private Sector	<ul> <li>2 private</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Concerns for loss of critical accurate/reliable CORS</li> </ul>
	industry	stations for research, survey and mapping
	partners	• Limits integration with SBAS and diversity of high integrity
		PNT services; suggests integration into national PNT
		network
		Suggests integration with wide area nationwide Network
		RTK, and ubiquitous nationwide high accuracy location
		and timing

## **Overview of FRN Responses 3 of 3**

Category	<b>Respondents</b>	Summary Comments
	-	
Individuals	<ul> <li>4 individuals</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Uses for remote sensing elevation data/coastal management decisionmaking</li> <li>Concerns for loss of realtime NAD83 data, WAAS accuracy insufficient</li> <li>Most accurate system for obstructed areas</li> <li>Specific concerns for NDGPS broadcast and CORS loss in Alaska, Hawaii, Puerto Rico</li> </ul>
International	<ul> <li>3 international organizations</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Increasing use of Portable Pilot Navigation Systems/ Personal Pilot Units requiring reliable signal input</li> <li>Concerns for loss of DGPS attributes and impact on broader aims of e-Navigation</li> <li>Limits integration with SBAS, diversity of high integrity PNT services</li> <li>No use in Canadian cadastral surveying</li> </ul>
<u>Federal</u> <u>Agencies</u>	<ul> <li>5 Federal agencies</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CORS at DGPS sites critical; not use broadcast (2)</li> <li>Concerns for accuracy impacts on OPUS</li> <li>Can replace with WAAS, but not RAIM (accuracy)</li> <li>No impact (2)</li> </ul>

# **Proposed Maritime Sites for Decommissioning - USCG (27)**

- Appleton, WA
- Biorka, AK
- Bobo, MS
- Brunswick, ME
- Cape Hinchinbrook, AK
- Cheboygan, MI
- Cold Bay, AK
- Driver, VA
- Eglin, FL
- Gustavus, AK
- Isabela, PR
- Key West, FL
- Kodiak, AK
- Kokole Point, HI

- Level Island, AK
- Lompoc, CA
- Mequon, MI
- New Bern, NC
- Penobscot, ME
- Pigeon Point, CA
- Robinson Pt, WA
- Saginaw, MI
- Sandy Hook, NJ
- Sturgeon Bay, WI
- Upper Keweenaw, MI
- Wisconsin Point, WI
- Youngstown, NY

# Proposed Inland Sites for Decommissioning – DOT (29)

- Albuquerque, NM
- Austin, NV
- Bakersfield, CA
- Billings, MT
- Chico, CA
- Clark, SD
- Dandridge, TN
- Essex, CA
- Flagstaff, AZ
- Greensboro, NC

- Hackleburg, AL
- Hagerstown, MD
- Hartsville, TN
- Hawk Run, PA
- Hudson Falls, NY
- Klamath Falls, OR
- Macon, GA
- Medora, ND
- Myton, UT
- Pine River, MN

- Polson, MT
- Pueblo, CO
- Savannah, GA
- Seneca, OR
- Spokane, WA
- St. Marys, WV
- Summerfield, TX
- Topeka, KS
- Whitney, NE

# Proposed Inland Sites for Decommissioning - USACE (6)

- Louisville, KY
- Millers Ferry, AL
- Rock Island, IA
- Sallisaw, OK
- St. Louis, MO
- St. Paul (Alma), MN